Scoring guidelines

Fight Number:

Stage:

Problem:

Reporter

Contents	Presentation	Discussion
- physical correctness	- evident structure	- physical correctness
- relevance of discussed	- clear explanation of	- relevance of the arguments
arguments for the task	formulae and symbols	- appropriate and solid
- application of scientific	- correct models,	knowledge of physics
methods	conformity of	- objective argumentation
- experiment, theory and	dimensions	- discussing the objections of
their agreement	- visual material (on-site	opponent
- convincing conclusions	experiments, audio and	- good and respectful manner
	video)	- answers to questions of
	- clear speech	reviewer and final statement
	- references	- jury questions and answers
Points (0-4)	Points (0-2)	Points (0-3)
Extra point (0-1)		Total (0-10)

Opponent

Questions / Presentation	Discussion	
- relevance of the questions	- physical correctness	
- physical correctness	- relevance of the arguments	
- clear and understandable	- appropriate and solid knowledge of physics	
- shows strong and weak	- discussing the facts of the report	
points of the report	- good and respectful manner	
	- answers to questions from reviewer and jury	
Points (0-4)	Points (0-5)	
Extra Point (0-1)	Total (0-10)	

Reviewer

Review of Reporter	Review of Opponent	
- relevance of questions	- relevance of questions	
- points out the essential pluses and	- points out the essential pluses and	
minuses of physical content and	minuses of physical content and	
argumentation	argumentation	
Points (0-3)	Points (0-3)	
- gives a thorough overview of the stage		
- discusses facts and avoids contradictions		
Points (0-3)		
Extra Point (0-1)	Total (0-10)	

The extra point can be used to compensate for the overall impression of the teams' performance.